#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION JOHN BERMAN, Case No. 5:11-cv-03698-PSG Plaintiff, FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS v. KNIFE RIVER CORPORATION, Defendant.

1	1	DI	רוז	$\Gamma \mathbf{Y}$	7 r	$\Gamma$	)	n	E	T.	TI	2	K.	R	Δ	1	וי	ŀ

When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not hesitate to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. Do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

#### 2. COMMUNICATION WITH COURT

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note
through Mr. Rivera, signed by your presiding juror or by one or more members of the jury. No
member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing; I will
communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing, or here
in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the parties before answering it, which
may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any
question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including me—how the jury stands,
numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been
discharged. Do not disclose any vote count in any note to the court.

#### 3. RETURN OF VERDICT

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a
verdict, your presiding juror will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it, and
advise the court that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

#### 4. BURDEN OF PROOF – PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE

When a	party has the burd	den of proof on an	y claim by a pa	reponderance	of the evidence,	it means
you mu	st be persuaded by	the evidence that	the claim is m	nore probably	true than not true	e.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party presented it.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

# 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 5. CACI 400. NEGLIGENCE – ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS

Mr. Berman claims that he was harmed by Knife River Corporation's negligence and that it should be held responsible for that harm. To establish this claim, Mr. Berman must prove all of the following:

- 1. That Knife River was negligent;
- 2. That the Mr. Berman was harmed; and
- 3. That Knife River's negligence was a substantial factor in causing Mr. Berman's harm.

#### 6. CACI 401. BASIC STANDARD OF CARE

A person can be negligent by acting or by failing to act. A person is negligent if he or she does something that a reasonably careful person would not do in the same situation or fails to do something that a reasonably careful person would do in the same situation.

You must decide how a reasonably careful person would have acted in Knife River's situation.

#### 7. CACI 405. COMPARATIVE FAULT OF PLAINTIFF

Knife River claims that Mr. Berman's own negligence contributed to his harm. To succeed on this claim, Knife River must prove both of the following:

- 1. That Mr. Berman was negligent; and
- 2. That Mr. Berman's negligence was a substantial factor in causing his harm.

If Knife River proves the above, Mr. Berman's damages are reduced by your determination of the percentage of Mr. Berman's responsibility. I will calculate the actual reduction.

#### 8. CACI 425. GROSS NEGLIGENCE EXPLAINED

Gross negligence is the lack of any care or an extreme departure from what a reasonably carefu
person would do in the same situation to prevent harm to oneself or to others.

A person can be grossly negligent by acting or by failing to act.

#### 9. CACI 430. CAUSATION – SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR

A subs	tantial factor in ca	ausing harm is a fact	tor that a rea	asonable į	person	would consid	er to ha	ve
contrib	uted to the harm.	It must be more that	an a remote	or trivial	factor.	It does not h	ave to b	e the
only ca	use of the harm.							

Conduct is not a substantial	factor in car	using harm	if the same	harm	would have	occurred	without
that conduct.		_					

# United States District Court For the Northern District of California

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

# 

#### 10. CACI 3900. INTRODUCTION TO TORT DAMAGES - LIABILITY CONTESTED

If you decide that Mr. Berman has proved his claim against Knife River, you also must decide how much money will reasonably compensate Mr. Berman for the harm. This compensation is called "damages."

The amount of damages must include an award for each item of harm that was caused by Knife River's wrongful conduct, even if the particular harm could not have been anticipated.

Mr. Berman does not have to prove the exact amount of damages that will provide reasonable compensation for the harm. However, you must not speculate or guess in awarding damages.

#### 11. CACI 3902. NONECONOMIC DAMAGES

The damages claimed by Mr. Berman for the harm caused by Knife River fall into one category called noneconomic damages.

#### 12. CACI 3905. ITEMS OF NONECONOMIC DAMAGE

The following are the specific items of noneconomic damages claimed by Mr. Berman:

- 1. Pain and suffering, past, present, and future;
- 2. Loss of enjoyment of life, past, present, and future;
- 3. Physical impairment, disfigurement, and inconvenience, past, present, and future.

# 13. CACI 3905A. PHYSICAL PAIN, MENTAL SUFFERING, AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

No fixed standard exists for deciding the amount of these noneconomic damages. You must use your judgment to decide a reasonable amount based on the evidence and your common sense.

To recover for future physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, disfigurement, physical impairment, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, humiliation, and/or emotional distress, Mr. Berman must prove that he is reasonably certain to suffer that harm.

For future physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, disfigurement, physical impairment, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, humiliation, and/or emotional distress, determine the amount in current dollars paid at the time of judgment that will compensate Mr. Berman for these future damages. This amount of non-economic damages should not be further reduced to present cash value because that reduction should only be performed with respect to economic damages.

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

# 

# 

# 

#### 14. CACI 3925. ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL NOT EVIDENCE OF DAMAGES

The arguments of the attorneys are not evidence of damages. Your award must be based on your reasoned judgment applied to the testimony of the witnesses and the other evidence that has been admitted during trial.

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 15. CACI 3927. AGGRAVATION OF PREEXISTING CONDITION OR DISABILITY

Mr. Berman is not entitled to damages for any physical or emotional condition that he had before Knife River's conduct occurred. However, if Mr. Berman had a physical or emotional condition that was made worse by Knife River's wrongful conduct, you must award damages that will reasonably and fairly compensate him for the effect on that condition.

#### 16. CACI 3930. MITIGATION OF DAMAGES (PERSONAL INJURY)

If you decide Knife River is responsible for the original harm, Mr. Berman is not entitled to recover damages for harm that Knife River proves Mr. Berman could have avoided with reasonable efforts or expenditures.

You should consider the reasonableness of Mr. Berman's efforts in light of the circumstances facing him at the time, including his ability to make the efforts or expenditures without undue risk or hardship.

If Mr. Berman made reasonable efforts to avoid harm, then your award should include reasonable amounts that he spent for this purpose.

# **United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

#### 17. CACI 3932. LIFE EXPECTANCY

If you decide Mr. Berman has suffered damages that will continue for the rest of his life, you must
determine how long he will probably live. In deciding a person's life expectancy, you should also
consider, among other factors, that person's health, habits, activities, lifestyle and occupation.

#### 18. CACI 3934. DAMAGES ON MULTIPLE LEGAL THEORIES

Mr. Berman seeks damages from	n Knife River under more than o	one legal theory. However, each
item of damages may be awarde	d only once, regardless of the n	umber of legal theories alleged.

#### 19. CACI 3964. JURORS NOT TO CONSIDER ATTORNEY FEES AND COURT COSTS

You must not consider, or include as part of any award, attorney fees or expenses that the parties incurred in bringing or defending this lawsuit.

# **United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

#### 20. DEPOSITION IN LIEU OF LIVE TESTIMONY

A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness taken before trial. The witness is placed under
oath to tell the truth and lawyers for each party may ask questions. The questions and answers are
recorded. When a person is unavailable to testify at trial, the deposition of that person may be used
at the trial.

You should consider deposition testimony, presented to you in court in lieu of live testimony, insofar as possible, in the same way as if the witness had been present to testify.

Do not place any significance on the behavior or tone of voice of any person reading the questions or answers.

#### Case 5:11-cv-03698-PSG Document 259 Filed 08/27/14 Page 22 of 22

# United States District Court For the Northern District of California

21		ry	ZP	F	D	T	n	D	IN	T	n	N	Ì
	1000	11/											ı

Some witnesses,	because of education or experience, are permitted to state opinions and the	he
reasons for those		

Opinion testimony should be judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it
and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and
experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2014

PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge

U

Case No. 5:11-cv-03698-PSG FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS